

IDEALIZED EVOLUTION

By Samuel J Alibrando - Author of "Nature Never Stops Talking-The Wonderful Ingenuity of Nature"

In the same way a new wife cannot compete with the idealized version of a deceased wife, like the politician who was once criticized for every decision but is now idealized because of his pre-mature death, like a place visited on a vacation is described in perfect terms of beauty and people and weather. . . Once idealized, imperfection is nearly impossible. Flaws become endearing quirks.

Twice I have been criticized for building an evolutionary theory “straw man” to knock down. It was suggested that I created a less perfect version of the theory so that I can make it “appear” more fallible. Imagine that.

In reality, the evolutionary theory’s main pillars of assumption are more than flawed. They are unscientific. I have merely touched the surface. If there is a misperception regarding evolution, I assure you, it is that it receives more credibility than it deserves. The public perception is that it has been proven or nearly proven. This perception is false but understandable.

Informed scientists know this. The public on the other hand, are the ones who describe evolution in concrete form as a solid, verified, proven scientifically valid basis for all other research. It is because of this overwhelmingly wrong perception that is rarely corrected by scientists that really know better. Their silence is overly negligent. They sit back while amateurs continue declaring evolution as “fact” and declaring all other theories are “ignorant” or “religious”. Meanwhile, scientists claim that “most scientists support the evolutionary theory”. That is a far different claim.

The public assumes challenging the evolutionary theory is like challenging gravity or the idea that water is wet. Even though evolutionary scientists know the flaws of evolution, they continually fan the flames of misperception that evolution is overwhelmingly credible. They know that it is a weak theory that has grown in popularity. The older an unproven theory is, the less credible it becomes. It does not become more “established” with time but in fact becomes a weaker theory with time if evidence doesn’t present itself.

A clever tactic is if they can stay out of all scientific arenas of debate and allow the public’s perception win the battle, rather than the facts, they know they can keep winning. This is their only hope and this is their strategy. Let the misguided public and the misguided professors and teachers fight the battle. They certainly will not be corrected by evolutionists for assuming there is more evidence than actually exists.

The secret strategy of battle against Intelligent Design and creationism and any theory that would criticize the scientific credibility of evolution is to fight on every front except the scientific front.

Idealized evolution is a much bigger enemy than the real evolutionary theory. One is “perfect and proven” while the real theory is so flawed that it opposes science and the scientific method credibility itself.

Am I just talking here? Then let’s use the rules of science in an arena of unprejudiced science referees and wage the debate there. For starters let’s pit the scientific method against the theory of evolution. Let’s see how many claims have been proven in a laboratory or observed with pattern-like consistency.

Excerpts from the book, table of contents, author appearances, downloadable articles, author’s BLOG link and reviews of the book are available online www.NatureNeverStopsTalking.com